





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/850,338	338 05/07/2001		Marko Schuba	52275-00003USPX	2528	
38065	7590	06/07/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ERICSSON		.	DINH, MINH			
6300 LEGACY DRIVE M/S EVR C11				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PLANO, T	PLANO, TX 75024			2132		
				DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/850,338		SCHUBA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Minh Dinh	2132	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
·	Minh Dinh	2132							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d									
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO									
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exponent of Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be sometimes.	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.						
AMENDMENTS	F		-,-						
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO	f, will <u>not</u> be entered l TE below);	because						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for						
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		At an a few fitting							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	ilowable if submitted in a separate,	, timely filed amendm	ient canceling						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-2, 4-6, 8-15, 17, 19-26, 28 and 33-38</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>3</u> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	st before on an the date of filling a b	1-41 F.A. 1 - 101							
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(DTO/SB/08 or DTO 4440) Dance	No(a)							
13. Other:	(170/36/00 01 FTO-1445) Paper	179(s).							
	GILBERTO BA	BRON TO							
	SUPERVISORY PATEN								

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitations added into independent claims 1, 14, 17 and 25 would require further search and consideration.